Collider phenomenology of Split-UED

Michihisa Takeuchi (Heidelberg University)

In collaboration with Chuan-Ren Chen, Mihoko M.Nojiri, SeongChan Park, Jing Shu at IPMU

JHEP 0909:078,2009. arxiv: 0903.1971[hep-th]

Models beyond the SM

To solve both the fine tuning problem and existence of DM,

there are several popular models which give the SM as effective theory.

	Fine tuning problem	Z ₂ Parity	Dark matter candidate
SUSY	boson-fermion sym.	R-parity	$ ilde{\chi}_1^0,\; ilde{G}$
Little Higgs with T-parity	Global sym.	T-parity (Anomalies; Hill&Hill)	A_H
mUED	Lorentz sym in 5dim Low Planck scale	KK-parity	B_1

Partners for SM particles.

Parity structure always produced in pairs at colliders.

Couplings are given by SM couplings (predictive).



K. Kong, K. Matchev, JHEP 0601:038, (2006)

mUED T. Appelquist, H-C. Cheng, B. A. Dobrescu

In mUED, LKP (heavy photon) is DM candidate. G.Servant, T.M.P. Tait

 $600 \sim 900 \text{ GeV LKP DM gives}$ correct DM abundance



Possible cosmic ray sources

PAMELA Collaboratio

PAMELA Collaboration (Oscar Adriani et al.)

e + /(e - + e +) flux



0.4 0.35 0.35 0.3 0.25 0.15 0

anti-p / p flux

Existence of e+ sources with > 100GeV (Pulsar ? V. Barger, Y. Gao, Wai Yee Keung, D. Marfatia, G. Shaughnessy

No Excess

e⁺ + e⁻ flux (FERMI,ATIC)



Motivation of Split-UED

DM annihilation = source of e⁺ flux excess (PAMELA) and ATIC peak with 620 GeV DM Fermi excess with 900 GeV DM

On the other hand, hadron modes contribute little. (PAMELA)

Increase the mass of q1 \implies suppress $B_1 ^{q^0} _{q^1} _{q^0} B_1 ^{q^0}$

Need model with heavy quark partner.

Plan

- Introduction & Motivation
- Model

Split-UED = mUED + 5D Bulk mass μ

- Fermionic partners for SM fermions
- Almost degenerate mass spectrum
- Heavy quark partner (q_1)
- Collider signatures

mUED model

T. Appelquist, H-C. Cheng, B. A. Dobrescu

All SM fields live in 5D (S¹ compactified). $x^{M} = (x^{0} = t, x^{1}, x^{2}, x^{3}, x^{5}) = (x^{\mu}, y)$ $\Phi(x, y) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi R}} \phi^{(0)}(x) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi R}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[\phi^{(+n)}(x) \cos \frac{ny}{R} + \phi^{(-n)}(x) \sin \frac{ny}{R} \right].$ Zero modes as SM fields

To obtain chiral fermions,

 S^1/Z_2 orbifording

$$\Psi'(x') = \eta_P \gamma^5 \Psi(x) \quad x^M = (x^\mu, y) \to x'^M = (x^\mu, -y).$$

Physical domain becomes $0 < y < \pi R$.

For the SM, we choose:

$$\eta_P = -1$$
 for Q, L

$$\Psi_L(x^\mu, y) = rac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi R}} \Psi_L^{(0)}(x^\mu) + \sum_{n=1}^\infty rac{1}{\sqrt{\pi R}} \Psi_{L+}^{(n)}(x^\mu) \, \cosrac{ny}{R}
onumber \ \Psi_R(x^\mu, y) = \sum_{n=1}^\infty rac{1}{\sqrt{\pi R}} \Psi_{R-}^{(n)}(x^\mu) \, \sinrac{ny}{R}.$$

 $\eta_P = +1$ for U, D, E, N

KK parity

4D eff. Lagrangian obtained by y-integration. Only terms even under the reflection symmetry survive.



Bulk mass term

To obtain heavy quark partners,

if we introduce normal vector-like mass term $M(\bar{\Psi}_L \Psi_R + \bar{\Psi}_R \Psi_L)$

$$S_{\text{split}-\text{UED}} = \int d^4x \int_{-L}^{L} dy \Big[\mathcal{L}_{\text{mUED}} - M \bar{\Psi}_q(x, y) \Psi_q(x, y) \Big]$$



After y-integration, The term gives <u>KK parity violating terms</u>,

$$m(\bar{\Psi}_L^{(0)}\Psi_R^{(1)} + \bar{\Psi}_R^{(1)}\Psi_L^{(0)})$$

Split-UED model

Instead, we introduce mass term $M\epsilon(y)(\bar{\Psi}_L\Psi_R+\bar{\Psi}_R\Psi_L)$ S.C. Park, J. Shu

$$S_{\text{split}-\text{UED}} = \int d^4x \int_{-L}^{L} dy \Big[\mathcal{L}_{\text{mUED}} - M\epsilon(y) \,\bar{\Psi}_q(x,y) \Psi_q(x,y) \Big] \qquad \epsilon(y) = \begin{cases} +1 & (0 < y < L) \\ -1 & (-L < y < 0) \end{cases}$$



After y-integration, terms like $m(\bar{\Psi}_L^{(0)}\Psi_R^{(2)} + \bar{\Psi}_R^{(2)}\Psi_L^{(0)})$ remain.

These terms give mixing among $\Psi_L^{(0)}, \Psi^{(2)}, \Psi^{(4)}, \dots$ and $\Psi^{(1)}, \Psi^{(3)}, \dots$ KK parity conserving

Spectrum

Split-UED







$$egin{aligned} m_{q_n}^{ ext{tree}} &= \sqrt{\mu^2 + k_n^2}, \ m_{l_n}^{ ext{tree}} &= n/R \;, \ k_{n^-} &= -|\mu| ext{tan} \, k_n L, \ k_{n^+} &= n/R \;. \end{aligned}$$

mUED : All masses of the first excited states are degenerate within $\sim 100 \text{ GeV}$

Mass differences between quark partners and others becomes $\sim \mu$

H-C. Cheng, K. Matchev, M. Schmaltz

Fit data

With split-UED, we can reproduce data



Collider signatures

$q_1 q_1$ signal • Large production cross section $\mathcal{M} \sim \frac{1}{t} \bar{u}_4 \gamma^\mu u_2 \bar{u}_3 \gamma_\mu u_1$ Fermionic quark partner q <u>q</u> $\mathcal{M} \sim \frac{1}{t} \bar{v}_2 (\not p_3 - \not p_1 + m_{\tilde{g}}) u_1$ Unlike SUSY (scalar) g M.M. Nojiri, M.T. PRD76:015009,2007 Q No p-wave suppression Threshold behavior $\sim \beta^3 \implies \beta$ 3000 10 2500 8 $10 \sim 100$ times larger m_a section (pb) 2000 6 for the same masses 1500 (GeV) Cross *q*₁*q*₁*7*.64 pb $\sigma(u_1u_1)$ 2 $\sigma(d_1d_1)$ $\sigma(u_1d_1)$ 500 $\tilde{q}\tilde{q}$ 125fb 0 0 60 times larger 0 500 1000 1500 μ (GeV)

$\mathbf{q}_1 \, \mathbf{q}_1 \, \mathbf{signal}$



Signal is Two hard jets + missing momentum.

Meff distribution and SMBG For 1fb⁻¹ $M_{eff} \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{4} p_T^{jet,i} + \sum_{i=1} p_T^{lep,i} + E_T^{miss}$



From ATLAS EP note (0-lepton mode)



SMBG < 300/1 fb⁻¹ Signal > 1000/1 fb⁻¹

Discovery is easy!

$\mathbf{q}_1 \, \mathbf{q}_1 \, \mathbf{signal}$



The same Kinematics as $\tilde{q}_R \tilde{q}_R$ pair production \implies MT2

MT2 A. Barr, C. Lester, P. Stephens



The endpoint gives mother particle mass

MT2 A. Barr, C. Lester, P. Stephens



The endpoint gives mother particle mass

In case DM mass is unknown Set test mass to be 0 gives

$$M_{T2}^{
m end} = m_A - rac{m_X^2}{m_A},$$
 (No ISR limit)

MT2 distribution

Two highest pt jets for visible momenta.



Summary

- e+ e- flux observations
 - DM annihilation dominated by leptonic modes
 - Split-UED with heavy quark partner

- Large cross section (fermion partner)
- Easy to detect because of simple decay kinematics
- q_1 mass measurement using M_{T2}



 $q \xrightarrow{q} q_{1} \xrightarrow{q} g_{1}$ QMT2 endpoint is given by $M_{T2}^{\text{end}} = m_{A} - \frac{m_{X}^{2}}{M_{T2}^{2}},$

 g_1

which is
$$m_{q_1} - \frac{m_{g_1}^2}{m_{q_1}} \simeq 880 \text{ GeV}.$$

SM back ground: Z+jets events give smaller MT2

Effects by increasing masses



S¹/Z₂ Orbifolding

Consider the parity transformation in y: $x^M = (x^{\mu}, y) \rightarrow x'^M = (x^{\mu}, -y)$.

The parity transformation for the fermion fields is defined as $\Psi'(x') = \eta_P \gamma^5 \Psi(x) \qquad (\text{We can choose } \eta_P \text{ for each field.})$

If we choose $\eta_P = +1$



We obtain zero mode only for R field.

$$\Psi(x^\mu,y)=\Psi_L(x^\mu,y)+\Psi_R(x^\mu,y)$$

$$\begin{split} &= \left\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi R}} \Psi_{L}^{(n)}(x^{\mu}) \\ &+ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi R}} \Psi_{L+}^{(n)}(x^{\mu}) \cos \frac{ny}{R} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi R}} \Psi_{L-}^{(n)}(x^{\mu}) \sin \frac{ny}{R} \right\} \\ &+ \left\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi R}} \Psi_{R}^{(0)}(x^{\mu}) \\ &+ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi R}} \Psi_{R+}^{(n)}(x^{\mu}) \cos \frac{ny}{R} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi R}} \Psi_{R-}^{(n)}(x^{\mu}) \sin \frac{ny}{R} \right\} \end{split}$$

S¹/Z₂ Orbifolding

Consider the parity transformation in y: $x^M = (x^{\mu}, y) \rightarrow x'^M = (x^{\mu}, -y)$.

For the fermion fields, the parity transformation is

 $\Psi'(x') = \eta_P \gamma^5 \Psi(x)$ (We can choose η_P for each field.)

If we choose $\eta_{P} = +1$



We obtain zero mode only for R field.

$$\Psi(x^\mu,y)=\Psi_L(x^\mu,y)+\Psi_R(x^\mu,y)$$

$$\begin{split} &= \left\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi R}} \Psi_L^{(0)}(x^{\mu}) \\ &+ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi R}} \Psi_{L+}^{(n)}(x^{\mu}) \cos \frac{ny}{R} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi R}} \Psi_{L-}^{(n)}(x^{\mu}) \sin \frac{ny}{R} \right\} \\ &+ \left\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi n}} \Psi_R^{(0)}(x^{\mu}) \\ &+ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi R}} \Psi_{R+}^{(n)}(x^{\mu}) \cos \frac{ny}{R} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi R}} \Psi_{R-}^{(n)}(x^{\mu}) \sin \frac{ny}{R} \right\} \end{split}$$

With $\eta_P = -1$, we obtain zero mode only for L field.

For the SM, we choose: $\eta_P = +1$ for U, D, E, N $\eta_P = -1$ for Q, L

LHC Physics

LHC: proton – proton collider ($\sqrt{s}=14$ TeV)

Proton: mixture of u, d, g, and sea quarks

Colored particles are copiously produced. (SM events also are)

Z2 parity odd particles are produced in pair.

Each decays in cascade



Large missing momentum $\mathbb{F}_T \equiv |\sum_{visible} p_T|$ Many hard jets, hard leptonsLarge $M_{eff} = \mathbb{F}_T + p_{T,1} + p_{T,2} + p_{T,3} + p_{T,4}.$

SM background

Using missing momentum and effective mass,

We separate Signal from SM background (ttbar, W,Z+jets, QCD)



Emiss>max(200,0.2Meff) is commonly used cut to reduce SM events.

Event simulation and selection cuts

split-UED	mass	SUSY	mass	
q_{L1}	1347 GeV	$ ilde{u}_L$, $ ilde{d}_L$	1355, 1358 GeV	
u_{R1}	$1322 {\rm GeV}$	$ ilde{u}_R$	$1304 {\rm GeV}$	
d_{R1}	$1318 {\rm GeV}$	$ ilde{d}_R$	$1263 {\rm GeV}$	
g_1	$794~{ m GeV}$	\tilde{g}	$799~{ m GeV}$	
B_1	$621 {\rm GeV}$	$ ilde{\chi}_1^0$	$622~{ m GeV}$	

Mimic Split-UED using MSSM point and generate events using HERWIG. (Kinematics is almost the same)

- Selection cuts are from ATLAS EP note (0-lepton mode)
 - 1. At least four jets with $p_T > 50$ GeV at least one of which must have $p_T > 100$ GeV; and $E_T^{\text{miss}} > 100$ GeV.
 - 2. $E_{\rm T}^{\rm miss} > 0.2 M_{\rm eff}$.
 - 3. Transverse sphericity, $S_T > 0.2$.
 - 4. $\Delta \phi(\text{jet}_1 E_T^{\text{miss}}) > 0.2, \Delta \phi(\text{jet}_2 E_T^{\text{miss}}) > 0.2, \Delta \phi(\text{jet}_3 E_T^{\text{miss}}) > 0.2.$
 - 5. Reject events with an e or a μ .