Spherical transverse M5-branes from the plane wave matrix model

Goro Ishiki (University of Tsukuba)

• PRD 96 (2017) no.12, 126003

• JHEP 1802 (2018) 076

Collaborators: Y. Asano (DIAS⇒KEK), S. Shimasaki (Keio U), S. Terashima (YITP)

Matrix Model = Second Quantization?

Does BFSS MM contain all states in M-theory?



Why is M5 difficult in MM?

The world volume theory of M5-branes is not known.

M5-brane charge is missing in SUSY algebra of MM. [Banks-Seiberg-Shenker]

No guiding principle to find M5-branes in MM

My talk

 Mass deformation of BFSS matrix model is very useful to understand this problem

Outline



 M-theory on 11D pp-wave background admits spherical M2/M5 branes with vanishing light cone energy.

This M5-brane should be described in PWMM as a vacuum state.

In finding this M5-brane in PWMM, the target is restricted to the vacuum sector

Conjecture [BMN, Maldacena-Sheiki-Jabbari-Raamsdonk] :

"The trivial vacuum (all fields=0) in PWMM corresponds to the spherical M5."

The conjecture for M5-branes in PWMM

Vacua of PWMM

The potential terms vanish for

$$\mu X_i + \frac{i}{2} \epsilon_{ijk} [X_j, X_k] = 0, \quad X_a = 0$$

This is solved by any N-dimensional representation of SU(2) generator

$$X_i = \mu L_i$$
 $[L_i, L_j] = i\epsilon_{ijk}L_k$
Fuzzy sphere

The fuzzy sphere is the regularization of S², not of S⁵.

We cannot see any spherical M5-brane like object...

Conjecture

• "Trivial vacuum ($X_i = 0$) corresponds to a single spherical M5". [BMN]

 More generally: Make an irreducible decomposition, [Maldacena-Sheiki-Jabbari-Raamsdonk]

$$X_i = \mu L_i = \mu \bigoplus_{s=1}^{\Lambda} L_i^{[n_s]}$$
 n_s dim irrep

Vacua are labelled by partitions of N
 ⇔ Young Tableau

• Let
$$k_a\,$$
 be the length of a-th row



 $n_s \to \infty\,$ is commutative limit of fuzzy sphere (M2-brane limit) Dual limit $k_a \to \infty$ is conjectured to be the M5-brane limit

For the trivial vacuum ($n_s = 1$), they checked the conjecture by showing that the mass spectra of PWMM and a single M5-brane agree with each other.



Decoupling limit

Decoupling limit of M5-brane

In order to isolate M5-branes form bulk modes, we can take the decoupling limit

Bulk newton constant $G_{11} \sim l_p^9$: positive length dimension \Rightarrow if we look at very large distance than G_{11} , the bulk mode decouples.

We take a limit where the radius of M5 becomes large (in the Planck unit)

$$r_{M5} \gg l_p$$

In this limit, we can fine tune other parameters in such a way that only DOF on M5-branes survive.

Derivation of the decoupling limit

$$ds^{2} = -2dx^{+}dx^{-} - \frac{\mu^{2}r^{2}}{36}dx^{+}dx^{+} + r^{2}d\Omega_{5}^{2} + \cdots \qquad r^{2} = \sum_{a=4}^{9} x_{a}^{2}$$

$$\tilde{x}^+ = x^+ + \frac{36}{\mu^2 r^2} dx^-, \quad \tilde{x}^- = x^-$$

$$ds^{2} = r^{2} \left(-\frac{\mu^{2}}{36} d\tilde{x}^{+} d\tilde{x}^{+} + d\Omega_{5}^{2} \right) + \frac{36}{\mu^{2} r^{2}} d\tilde{x}^{-} d\tilde{x}^{-} + \cdots$$
$$(\tilde{x}^{+}, \tilde{x}^{-}) \sim (\tilde{x}^{+} + \frac{36R}{(\mu r)^{2}}, \tilde{x}^{-} + R) \longleftarrow (x^{+}, x^{-}) \sim (x^{+}, x^{-} + R)$$

We look at $r \sim r_{M5} \gg 1$

⇒ spatial compactification with radius $R/(\mu r_{M5})$ ⇒ NS5-brane in the type IIA superstring (bulk modes decouple)

- We look at $r \sim r_{M5} \gg 1 \Rightarrow$ spatial compactification with radius $R/(\mu r_{M5})$
 - \Rightarrow NS5-brane in the type IIA superstring (bulk modes decouple)
 - \Rightarrow The theory on NS5-brane (Little string theory) is parametrized by the tension $\sim 1/l_s^2$
 - Decoupling limit of NS5-brane

$$\frac{r_{M5}}{l_p} \sim \left(l_p^2 \mu p^+\right)^{1/4} \to \infty \quad \frac{r_{M5}^2}{l_s^2} \sim \left(\frac{R^4 p^+}{\mu^3 l_p^6}\right): \text{ fixed} \qquad (\mu, l_p: \text{fixed})$$

Bulk modes decouple for large radius

DOF on NS5 survive

$$\longrightarrow N o \infty, \ \ \lambda = g^2 N: \ ext{fixed}$$
 't Hooft limit

Decoupling limit of M5-branes

Take $\lambda \to \infty$ in the 't Hooft limit

Decoupling limit of multiple M5



We first consider the 't Hooft limit such that the large-N limit is taken as

$$N_2^{(s)} \to \infty, \quad n_s : \text{fixed} \qquad \sum_{s=1}^{\Lambda} n_s N_2^{(s)} = N \to \infty$$

and then, take the strong coupling limit. $\lambda
ightarrow \infty$

Assumption

Assumption

 We first assumed that in the strong coupling limit, the matrices (dominant configurations) become mutually commuting

$$[X^A, X^B] \to 0$$

We thought this is an reasonable assumption but...

Maldacena gave us an email saying that this assumption should be wrong!

But for the moment, let us assume this (though this may be wrong) and in the last part of my talk, I will introduce Maldacena's suggestion.

(Actually, Maldacena's comment does not change our main claim. it only changes some interpretation of the result.)



Localization in PWMM

$$S_{PWMM} = \frac{1}{g^2} \int dt \operatorname{Tr} \left[\frac{1}{2} D X_M^2 - \frac{1}{4} [X_a, X_b]^2 - \frac{1}{2} [X_a, X_i]^2 - \frac{\mu^2}{8} X_a^2 - \frac{1}{2} \left(\mu X_i + \frac{i}{2} \epsilon_{ijk} [X_j, X_k] \right)^2 + \text{fermions} \right]$$

For the moment, let us consider the simplest partition,

$$X_i = \mu L_i = \mu L_i^{[N_5]} \otimes \mathbf{1}_{N_2}$$
 (N₅=1 \Leftrightarrow the trivial vacuum)

After Wick rotation, we set the boundary condition as

All fields \rightarrow The vacuum configuration $(\tau \rightarrow \pm \infty)$

We consider a complex scalar field,

$$\phi(t) = X_3(t) + i(X_8(t)\sin t + X_9(t)\cos t)$$

There exist supersymmetries such that $Q\phi = 0$ (4 SUSYs, ½ BPS)

At
$$t=0$$
 , $\phi=X_3+iX_9$

Eigenvalue distribution of ϕ gives the moduli distribution on the (X_3, X_9) -plane

The eigenvalue distribution of ϕ is computable by the localization

 \blacklozenge Any correlation function made of ϕ can be computed by localization

$$\langle \mathrm{Tr}\phi^{n_1}(t_1)\mathrm{Tr}\phi^{n_2}(t_2)\cdots\rangle$$

Localization

- We add a nice Q-exact term tQV to the action
- \Rightarrow The partition function is shown to be independent of t
- ⇒ Taking the large-t limit, the saddle points of the Q-exact term dominate
- \Rightarrow Saddle point $\phi = X_3 + iX_9 = \mu L_3 + iM$

M : constant matrix commuting with $L_{m{i}}$

Our result of the localization

$$\langle \operatorname{Tr}\phi^{n_1}(t_1)\operatorname{Tr}\phi^{n_2}(t_2)\cdots\rangle = \langle \operatorname{Tr}(\mu L_3 + iM)^{n_1}\operatorname{Tr}(\mu L_3 + M)^{n_2}\cdots\rangle_{eff}$$

Effective action

$$S = \frac{1}{g^2} \sum_{i=1}^{N_2} m_i^2 + \sum_{J=0}^{N_5 - 1} \sum_{i \neq j} \log \left(\frac{((2J)^2 + (m_i - m_j)^2)((2J + 2)^2 + (m_i - m_j)^2)}{((2J + 1)^2 + (m_i - m_j)^2)^2} \right)$$
Classical part 1-loop determinant

This also means that the spectrum of ϕ is determined by the effective action;

$$\langle \operatorname{Tr}(z-\phi)^{-1}\rangle = \langle \operatorname{Tr}(z-\mu L_3+iM)^{-1}\rangle_{eff}$$

Under the assumption of the commutativity of matrices, eigenvalue distribution of M = eigenvalue distribution of X^9

In the large-N limit, the saddle points of S_{eff} dominate.

- Weak coupling limit \rightarrow the saddle is trivial $(X_9 = M = 0)$
- Strong coupling limit \rightarrow There is a non-trivial equilibrium

 \rightarrow Moduli distribution of X_9 in the decoupling limit of M5-brane $\rho(x)=c(a-x^2)^{3/2} \qquad a,c : {\rm constants}$

 $(M \gg L_3 \text{ in this limit} \Rightarrow \text{only SO(6) scalars expand})$

Uplift to SO(6) symmetric distribution

$$\int dx_9 x_9^n \rho(x_9) =: \int dx_4 dx_5 \cdots dx_9 x_9^n \tilde{\rho}(r) \quad \text{for any } n$$
$$r = \sqrt{x_4^2 + \cdots + x_9^2}$$

• For
$$\rho(x) = c(a - x^2)^{3/2}$$
, we find $\tilde{\rho}(r) = \tilde{c} \, \delta(r - r_0)$

SO(6) scalars form a spherical shell in R⁶

Furthermore, the radius of the distribution agrees with the radius of M5,

$$r_0 = \left(\frac{\mu p^+}{6\pi^3}\right)^{1/4} = r_{M5}$$

In the M5-brane limit, SO(6) scalars form a spherical M5-brane!

Emergent M5-branes

At weak coupling, vacuum configuration was trivial At strong coupling, however, typical moduli configuration is a spherical shell



The most general partition

For the most general partition. the eigenvalue integral is given by a multi-matrix model.

$$Z = \int \prod_{s=1}^{\Lambda} \prod_{i=1}^{N_2^{(s)}} dm_{si} Z_{1-loop} e^{-\frac{2n_s}{g^2} m_{si}^2}$$
$$Z_{1-loop} = \prod_{s,t=1}^{\Lambda} \prod_{J=|n_s-n_t|/2}^{(n_s+n_t)/2-1} \prod_{i=1}^{N_2^{(s)}} \prod_{j=1}^{N_2^{(t)}} \left[\frac{\{(2J+2)^2 + (m_{si} - m_{tj})^2\}\{(2J)^2 + (m_{si} - m_{tj})^2\}}{\{(2J+2)^2 + (m_{si} - m_{tj})^2\}^2} \right]^{1/2}$$

We found an exact solution to this model

Multiple M5-branes

Solution in the decoupling limit

$$\hat{\rho}_s(x) = \frac{8^{3/4} \sum_{r=1}^s N_2^{(r)}}{3\pi \lambda_s^{1/4}} \left[1 - \left(\frac{x}{x_s}\right)^2 \right]^{3/2}, \quad x_s = (8\lambda_s)^{1/4}, \quad \lambda_s = g^2 \sum_{r=1}^s N_2^{(r)}$$

The SO(6) symmetric uplift is Λ -stacks of spherical shells



Agrees with the claim of the conjecture!

$$r_s = \left(\frac{\mu p_s^+}{6\pi^3}\right)^{1/4} \quad p_s^+ = \sum_{r=1}^s N_2^{(r)}/R$$

 $\hat{
ho}_s = \sum
ho_r$

Maldacena's comments

• We computed eigenvalue distribution of $\phi = X_3 + iX_9$ Correct, Nice!

• Assuming the commutativity, $[X_A, X_B] \sim 0$, we identified the real and imaginary parts of the distribution of $\phi = X_3 + iX_9$ as the distributions of X_3, X_9 , respectively.

Maybe wrong! Some modification needed.

Assuming the commutativity again, we defined uplifted distribution function in 6D. And then we found that the uplifted distribution agrees with the shape of M5-branes.

With a suitable modification of the interpretation, this result gives a nice evidence for the emergence of the M5-branes!

Maldacena's suggestion

- Assumption of the commutativity contradicts with Polchinski's paper [hep-th/9903165]
- From some basic equations like the uncertainty inequality and the Ward identity, Polchinki showed that

$$\operatorname{Tr}(X^A)^2 \gg \mathcal{O}(r_{M5}^2)$$

Contradicts with our result \Rightarrow Our commuting assumption may be wrong

· Supergravity objects corresponds to low energy modes of the matrices

$$\operatorname{Tr}(X^A)^2 = \operatorname{Tr}(X^A)^2|_{\text{high eng}} + \operatorname{Tr}(X^A)^2|_{\text{low eng}}$$

High energy modes will be This part can be $\mathcal{O}(r_{M5}^2)$ irrelevant in low energy physics

Resolution by Maldacena

Our correlators are independent of time coordinates

 $\langle \operatorname{Tr}\phi^{n_1}(t_1)\operatorname{Tr}\phi^{n_2}(t_2)\cdots\rangle = \langle \operatorname{Tr}(\mu L_3 + iM)^{n_1}\operatorname{Tr}(\mu L_3 + M)^{n_2}\cdots\rangle_{eff}$

They are invariant under taking time averages ⇒ contain only low energy modes

• Then, we can just change our assumption as

 X^A are commuting \longrightarrow Low energy modes of X^A are commuting

 The conclusion is that the M5-branes are formed by the eigenvalues of the low energy modes of the matrices.

Summary

- By applying localization, we derived a spherical distribution for low energy moduli of SO(6) scalars in the M5-brane limit of PWMM.
- The radius agrees with that of the spherical M5-brane in M-theory
- This result can be generalized to multiple concentric M5-branes
- (multiple) M5-brane states are indeed contained in PWMM

Nice evidence for PWMM to be the second quantization of M-theory!

Outlook

- Excited states? (Rotating M5 etc)
- Theory for multiple M5-branes?
- Numerical work?