

To be, or not to be finite?  
The Higgs potential  
in Gauge-Higgs Unification

arXiv:1908.09158

Atsuyuki YAMADA (Nagoya Univ.)

Collaborator:

Junji HISANO (KMI, Nagoya Univ., IPMU)

Yutaro SHOJI (KMI)

12/03/2019 Seminar@Osaka Univ.

# Introduction

- **Origin of the Higgs field**

In 2012, the Higgs boson was discovered!

Phenomenologically, we need the Higgs boson.

- Higgs mechanism
- Yukawa interaction
- etc.

However, we have no principle of the Higgs boson.

What is the Higgs boson?

- **Gauge-Higgs Unification (GHU)**

In higher dimensional gauge theories (e.g.  $SU(\mathcal{N})$  on  $\mathbf{M}^4 \times S^1$ ), then

Higgs = extra-component of gauge bosons,  $A_5(x)$

- Yukawa interactions are given by the gauge principle.
- The Higgs potential is not permitted at the tree level, but is generated by quantum corrections (Hosotani mechanism).

# Introduction (3)

## • Higgs potential finiteness

non-Abelian @1-loop:

- $SU(N)$  on  $\mathbf{M}^3 \times S^1$  [Hosotani, 1983]
- $SU(N)$  on  $\mathbf{M}^{d-1} \times S^1$  [Hosotani, 1989]
- semi-simple  $G$  on  $\mathbf{R}^3 \times S^1$  [Davies & McLachlan, 1989]

**Conjecture** [Gersdorff, Irges, Quiros, 2002; Hosotani, 2005]

In GHU, the Higgs effective potential,  $V_{eff}(\theta)$ , is finite at all orders.

$$m_h^2 \propto \frac{d^2 V_{eff}(\theta)}{d\theta^2}, \text{ etc.}$$

are free from UV-theory?

- **Check of finiteness at higher orders**

Abelian @2-loop:

$\mathbf{M}^4 \times S^1$  [Maru & Yamashita, 2006; Hosotani et al., 2007]

Finiteness is not shown in non-Abelian @2-loop level...

- **Our results**

- $SU(\mathcal{N})$  on  $\mathbf{M}^4 \times S^1$  @ one/two-loop: **finite**
- $SU(\mathcal{N})$  on  $\mathbf{M}^5 \times S^1$  @ three-loop: **infinite**

# Outline

- ① Introduction
- ② Brief review of GHU
- ③ Setup
- ④ Calculation method
- ⑤ Results
- ⑥ Summary

# Brief review of GHU

Let us consider an  $SU(N)$  gauge theory on  $\mathbf{M}^4 \times S^1$ .

$$A_M = (A_\mu, A_5) \leftarrow \text{scalar (Higgs)}$$

Kaluza-Klein expansion

$$A_M(x, y) = A_M(x, y + 2\pi R)$$

$$A_M(x, y) = \sum_n A_M^{(n)}(x) e^{iny/R}$$

## Brief review of GHU <sup>(2)</sup>

- **Hosotani mechanism**

AB phase ( $\theta$ ): phase of the Wilson loop along  $S^1$ .

$$\theta = g \oint_{S^1} dy A_5 = 2\pi R g \langle A_5 \rangle.$$

Because of  $S^1$  compactification, we cannot gauge away  $\theta$ ;

$$\text{propagator } S_A = \frac{-i\eta^{MN}}{p^2 - \left(\frac{n}{R} + \frac{\theta^a T^a}{2\pi R}\right)^2}, \quad T^a: \text{adj. rep.}$$

Gauge bosons become massive.  $\rightarrow$  symmetry breaking!



# Setup

- $SU(N)$  gauge theory

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{gauge} + \mathcal{L}_{fermion} + \mathcal{L}_{GF} + \mathcal{L}_{ghost},$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{gauge} = -\frac{1}{4}F_{MN}^a F^{aMN},$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{fermion} = \sum_l \bar{\psi}_l i \gamma^M D_M \psi_l,$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{GF} = -\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{F}^a \mathcal{F}^a, \quad \mathcal{F}^a = \partial^M A_M^a + \frac{f^{abc}}{2\pi R} A_5^b \theta^c,$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{ghost} = -\bar{c} \left[ \partial^M D_M - \frac{f^{ace} f^{bed}}{2\pi R} \theta^c \left( \frac{\theta^d}{2\pi R} + g A_5^d \right) \right] c.$$

Here,  $A_5^a$  is shifted by its VEV,  $\langle A_5^a \rangle = \frac{\theta^a}{2\pi R g}$ .


- **Boundary conditions**

$$A_M(x^\mu, x^5 + 2\pi R) = A_M(x^\mu, x^5),$$

$$\psi_l(x^\mu, x^5 + 2\pi R) = e^{i\beta_l} \psi_l(x^\mu, x^5), \quad \beta_l \in [0, 2\pi).$$

# Calculation method

- Difficulties with loop integrals


$$= -\frac{5}{2} \frac{1}{2\pi R} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbf{M}^4} \frac{d^4 k}{(2\pi)^4} \text{tr} \ln \left[ k^2 - \left( \frac{n}{R} + \frac{\theta^a T^a}{2\pi R} \right)^2 \right]$$

$T^a$ : adjoint rep.

- 1 Diagonalize matrices → so many diagrams
- 2 Calculate integrals

→ Need for simple calculation!

# Calculation method (2)

## • Compactification by superposition


[Anber & Sulejmanpasic, 2015; Heffner & Reinhardt, 2015]

$$\frac{1}{2\pi R} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbf{M}^4} \frac{d^4 k}{(2\pi)^4} S\left(\frac{n}{R} + \frac{\Theta}{2\pi R}\right) = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i\Theta m} \int_{\mathbf{M}^5} \frac{d^5 k}{(2\pi)^5} e^{-i2\pi R k_5 m} S(k_5)$$

$S$ : analytic function,  $\Theta$ : Hermitian matrix.

cf. Poisson resummation formula:

$$\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \delta\left(k_5 - \frac{n}{R}\right) = R \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-i2\pi R k_5 m}$$



KK decomposition  $\rightarrow$  Superposition around  $S^1$

# Calculation method (3)

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Diagram} &= -\frac{5}{2} \frac{1}{2\pi R} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbf{M}^4} \frac{d^4 k}{(2\pi)^4} \text{tr} \ln \left[ k^2 - \left( \frac{n}{R} + \frac{\theta^a T^a}{2\pi R} \right)^2 \right] \\ &= -\frac{5}{2} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \text{tr} e^{i\theta^a T^a m} \int_{\mathbf{M}^5} \frac{d^5 k}{(2\pi)^5} e^{-i2\pi R k_5 m} \ln \left[ k^2 - (k_5)^2 \right] \\ &= -\frac{3i}{128|m|^5 \pi^7 R^5} \end{aligned}$$

# Calculation method (4)

Furthermore, at the two-loop level,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Diagram} &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2\pi R} \sum_{n_1} \int \frac{d^4 p}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{1}{2\pi R} \sum_{n_2} \int \frac{d^4 k}{(2\pi)^4} \left[ \frac{-i\eta_{MN}}{p^2 - \left(\frac{n_1}{R} + \frac{\theta^c T^c}{2\pi R}\right)^2} \right]_{ab} \\ &\times (-1) \text{tr} \left[ \frac{i}{\not{p} + \not{k} - \gamma_5 \left(\frac{n_1+n_2}{R} + \frac{\theta^c \tau^c - \beta_\ell}{2\pi R}\right)} i g \gamma^M \tau_\ell^a \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times \frac{i}{\not{k} - \gamma_5 \left(\frac{n_2}{R} + \frac{\theta^c \tau^c - \beta_\ell}{2\pi R}\right)} i g \gamma^N \tau_\ell^b \right]. \end{aligned}$$

# Calculation method (5)

Eventually, our calculation method simplifies loop integrals greatly:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Diagram} &= -6ig^2 \sum_{m_1, m_2} \left[ e^{i\theta^c T^c m_1} \right]_{ab} \text{tr} \left[ e^{i(\theta^c \tau^c - \beta_\ell) m_2} \tau_\ell^b \tau_\ell^a \right] \\ &\times \int \frac{d^5 p}{(2\pi)^5} \int \frac{d^5 k}{(2\pi)^5} e^{-i2\pi R(p_5 m_1 + k_5 m_2)} \\ &\times \frac{(p+k)^M k_M}{p^L p_L (p+k)^J (p+k)_J k^I k_I}. \end{aligned}$$

Very powerful method!

# Result (i): 1-loop level

[Hosotani, 1983, 1989; Davies & McLachlan, 1989]

$$V_{eff}^{1L} = -\frac{9}{256\pi^7 R^5} \sum_{m \neq 0} \frac{1}{|m|^5} \text{tr} e^{i\theta^a T^a m} \\ + \frac{3}{64\pi^7 R^5} \sum_l \sum_{m \neq 0} \frac{1}{|m|^5} \text{tr} e^{i(\theta^a \tau_l^a - \beta_l)m} + C$$

$\tau_l^a$ : rep. of fermions,  $C$ : constant.

**finite**

Consistent with the previous works.



## Result (ii): 2-loop level

$$V_{eff}^{2L}(\theta) = i \times \left( \text{Diagram 1} + \text{Diagram 2} + \text{Diagram 3} + \text{Diagram 4} \right)$$
$$= -3g^2 \sum_l \sum_{m_1, m_2} G_l(m_1, m_2) [2F(m_1)F(m_2) - F(m_1)F(m_1 - m_2)]$$
$$+ \frac{9}{4}g^2 \sum_{m_1, m_2} G_{adj}(m_1, m_2) F(m_1)F(m_2),$$

$$F(m) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{64\pi^5 |m|^3 R^3} & (m \neq 0) \\ 0 & (m = 0) \end{cases}$$

$$G_l(m_1, m_2) = [e^{i\theta^c T^c m_1}]_{ba} \text{tr}[e^{i(\theta^c \tau_l^c - \beta_l)m_2} \tau_l^a \tau_l^b]$$

$$G_{adj}(m_1, m_2) = [e^{i\theta^c T^c m_1}]_{ba} \text{tr}[e^{i\theta^c T^c m_2} T^a T^b]$$

finite

# Result (iii): 3-loop level (on $\mathbf{M}^5 \times S^1$ )

Let us consider the 4-Fermi operators with only one fermion.

( $\alpha, \gamma$ : indices for  $\bar{\psi}$ ;  $\beta, \delta$ : for  $\psi$ .  $\epsilon = 3 - d/2$ )

$$\left[ \begin{array}{c} \text{---} \leftarrow \text{---} \leftarrow \text{---} \leftarrow \\ \text{---} \leftarrow \text{---} \leftarrow \text{---} \leftarrow \\ \text{---} \leftarrow \text{---} \leftarrow \text{---} \leftarrow \\ \text{---} \leftarrow \text{---} \leftarrow \text{---} \leftarrow \end{array} \right]_{div} + \text{(crossed)}$$

$$= \frac{-ig^4}{768\pi^3} \frac{1}{\epsilon} [\gamma^L \gamma^N \gamma^M \tau^c \tau^a]_{\alpha\beta} [\gamma_M \gamma_N \gamma_L \tau^a \tau^c - \gamma_L \gamma_N \gamma_M \tau^c \tau^a]_{\gamma\delta} - (\alpha \leftrightarrow \gamma).$$

Corresponding counter term is

$$\mathcal{L}_{CT} = \frac{\delta_{4F}}{2} [\bar{\psi} \gamma^M \gamma^N \gamma^L \tau^a \tau^b \psi] [\bar{\psi} (\gamma_M \gamma_N \gamma_L \tau^a \tau^b - \gamma_L \gamma_N \gamma_M \tau^b \tau^a) \psi],$$

$$\text{where } \delta_{4F} = \frac{g^4}{768\pi^3} \frac{1}{\epsilon} + \delta_{4F}^{fin}.$$

# Result (iii): 3-loop level (on $\mathbf{M}^5 \times S^1$ ) <sup>(2)</sup>

Contribution to the Higgs effective potential from  $\mathcal{L}_{CT}$  is

$$V_{CT}(\theta) = \sum_{m_1 \neq 0} \sum_{m_2 \neq 0} \frac{\delta_{4F}^{fin} \mathcal{N}}{8\pi^{16} R^{10} m_1^5 m_2^5} \\ \times \{ 2 \operatorname{tr}[\tau^a e^{i(\theta^b \tau^b - \beta)m_1}] \operatorname{tr}[\tau^a e^{i(\theta^b \tau^b - \beta)m_2}] \\ + \operatorname{tr}[\tau^a e^{i(\theta^b \tau^b - \beta)m_1} \tau^a e^{i(\theta^b \tau^b - \beta)m_2}] \}.$$


Because  $\delta_{4F}^{fin}$  is an arbitrary parameter determined by UV-theory, the Higgs effective potential depends on UV-theory. (Our criteria of divergence)

# Result (iii): 3-loop level (on $\mathbf{M}^5 \times S^1$ ) <sup>(3)</sup>

- $V_{CT}$  in an  $SU(2)$  gauge theory

With a fermion in fundamental representation, we get

$$V_{CT}^{\mathcal{N}=2}(\theta) = \sum_{m_1 \neq 0} \sum_{m_2 \neq 0} \frac{3\delta_{4F}^{\text{fin}} e^{-i\beta(m_1+m_2)}}{8\pi^{16} R^{10} m_1^5 m_2^5} \cos\left(\frac{m_1 + m_2}{2}\theta\right)$$



# Summary

- Using *compactification by superposition*, we simplified calculation of loop integrals in non-Abelian gauge theories.
- We estimated the Higgs effective potential up to the 2-loop level in an  $SU(N)$  gauge theory defined on  $\mathbf{M}^4 \times S^1$ .  
→ It is indeed finite as the conjecture says.
- Calculating the 4-Fermi operator at the 1-loop level in an  $SU(N)$  gauge theory defined on  $\mathbf{M}^5 \times S^1$ , we found that it is divergent.  
→  $V_{eff}(\theta)$  depends on UV-theories at the 3-loop level.  
We have not shown all-order finiteness after the inclusion of  $\mathcal{L}_{CT}$ .

# Backup

# Regularization of loop integrals

We impose following conditions on a regularization:

- All the integrals become finite.
- Invariance under the shifts of loop momenta.
- Independence of the signs of loop momenta.
- Gauge invariance,  $p_M \Pi^{MN}(p) = 0$ .

# Regularization of loop integrals (2)

We define

$$\Lambda^3 := \int \frac{d^5 k}{(2\pi)^5} \frac{1}{k^M k_M},$$

$$\Xi(p) := \int \frac{d^5 k}{(2\pi)^5} \frac{1}{(k + p/2)^M (k + p/2)_M (k - p/2)^N (k - p/2)_N}.$$

Then,

$$\int \frac{d^5 p}{(2\pi)^5} \Xi(p) = (\Lambda^3)^2,$$

$$\begin{aligned} \int \frac{d^5 k}{(2\pi)^5} \frac{k^A k^B}{(k + p/2)^M (k + p/2)_M (k - p/2)^N (k - p/2)_N} \\ = \left( \frac{1+x}{5} \eta^{AB} - x \frac{p^A p^B}{p^M p_M} \right) \left[ \Lambda^3 - \frac{p^M p_M}{4} \Xi(p) \right]. \end{aligned}$$



# Regularization of loop integrals (3)

Gauge invariance requires

$$\Lambda^3 = 0, \quad x = \frac{1}{4}.$$

e.g. dimensional regularization

$$\Lambda^3 = 0, \quad x = \frac{1}{4}, \quad \Xi(p) = -\frac{i}{128\pi} \sqrt{-p^M p_M}.$$

This is consistent with above requirements.