

Realization of impossible anomalies

Yu Nakayama (Rikkyo)

[arXiv:1804.02940](https://arxiv.org/abs/1804.02940)

Anomaly 101

- Classical conservation law may be broken by quantum effects e.g.

$$\partial^\mu j_\mu = \epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} F^{\mu\nu} F^{\rho\sigma}$$

- More generally operator relations that cannot be obtained from (local) action principle
- Some anomalies are experimentally observed (others are never observed because it would be inconsistent)

Anomaly Quiz

Rule 1: Multiple choice question (yes or no)

Rule 2: Don't trust anyone over thirty
(Don't raise your hand if you know QFT
more than ten years)

Anomaly Quiz 1

$$\partial^\mu j_\mu = \epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} F^{\mu\nu} F^{\rho\sigma}$$

is usual **chiral anomaly**.

But can we have the anomaly such as

$$\partial^\mu j_\mu = F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu}$$

- ① Yes we can!
- ② No you cannot...

Anomaly Quiz 2

Is **theta parameter** in YM theory renormalized?

$$S = \int d^4x \left(\frac{1}{g^2} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} + \theta \epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} F^{\mu\nu} F^{\rho\sigma} \right)$$

$$T_{\mu}^{\mu} = \beta_g F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} + \beta_{\theta} \epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} F^{\mu\nu} F^{\rho\sigma}$$

- ① Of course yes...
- ② No. You don't know QFTs!

These two questions are related by SUSY

$$T_{\mu}^{\mu} = \beta_g F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} + \beta_{\theta} \epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} F^{\mu\nu} F^{\rho\sigma}$$

$$\partial^{\mu} J_{\mu}^R = \beta_g \epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} F^{\mu\nu} F^{\rho\sigma} + \beta_{\theta} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu}$$

So if one is yes, the other will be yes as well.

Note that **CP must be broken** to have non zero beta functions for theta parameter (and so does for the unconventional current anomaly)

In textbooks?

- 1-loop computation only gives conventional chiral anomaly
- All loop argument was given by Adler-Bardeen (but I'm not sure if this is proved with CP violation, let alone with non-perturbative effects)
- Wess-Zumino consistency condition? (\rightarrow they are allowed)
- Descent formulation (anomaly polynomial in $d+2$ dimensions) \rightarrow sufficient but not necessary(??)
- You may want to recheck Kugo-san's textbook after this talk

Theta parameter is most probably renormalized

- I haven't found good reference on it, but...
- 2D NLSM suggests β_g depends (non-perturbatively) on θ
- $\theta = 0 \rightarrow$ confining $\theta = \pi \rightarrow$ conformal fixed point
- If RG flows are **gradient flow**, $\beta^a = g^{ab} \partial_b V$
 β_θ must be non-zero
- In holography, it is very likely when dilaton (dual to gauge coupling) has potential, **then axion (dual to theta parameter) also has potential** (with discrete shift symmetry).
- If we want to gauge it, did we have to cancel this part (which I don't know)?

Impossible Anomalies

Nonperturbative go-go argument in CFT

- General QFTs are too difficult. Focus on **CFTs**.
- Anomaly is constrained by **Wess-Zumino consistency condition**
- Although non-local, anomaly is variation of the effective action and variation satisfies an algebra:

$$[\delta_{\lambda(x)}, \delta_{\tilde{\lambda}(y)}] = 0$$

- Non-abelian case is non-trivial, **but for Abelian anomaly, the both are trivially consistent** (because the second variation is obviously zero)

$$\delta_{\lambda(x)} Z = \lambda(x) (c_1 F^{\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu} + c_2 \epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} F^{\mu\nu} F^{\rho\sigma})$$

Nonperturbative no-go argument in CFT

- General QFTs are too difficult. Focus on CFTs
- Consider (conserved) current three-point functions

$$\partial^\mu \langle J_\mu^1 J_\nu^2 J_\rho^3 \rangle = ?$$

- Must be zero except at $x_i = x_j$ (this is anomaly!)
- Conformal symmetry dictates the form of $\langle J_\mu J_\nu J_\rho \rangle$ up to regularizations (I'll discuss this later)
- Unregularized three-point functions do not contain parity violating form
→ $\partial^\mu j_\mu = F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu}$ is impossible!

Nonperturbative argument(?) in CFT

- I will critically discuss if **this argument is really anomaly free** (pun intended)
- To avoid the confusion, even if true, this argument did NOT say theta parameter is not renormalized. It only says there is no **one-loop** renormalization for the theta parameter near the conformal fixed point.

Simpler d=2 example: $\partial^\mu J_\mu = c_J R$

- Go-go argument
 - Obviously the RHS is gauge invariant, so **Wess-Zumino consistency condition is satisfied**

- No-go argument

- In CFT, this anomaly would correspond to the OPE

$$\langle T(z)J(0) \rangle = \frac{c_J}{z^3}$$

- $\bar{\partial}$ gives $\partial^2 \delta(z)$, meaning the possible anomaly

$$\bar{\partial} J \propto c_J R$$

- However, **in CFT two-point functions of primary operators must be diagonal w.r.t. conformal weight**

$$\rightarrow c_J = 0$$

d=2 example $\partial^\mu J_\mu = c_J R$

- But actually we know this anomaly does exist: **ghost number anomaly in world-sheet string theory**

- This is based on the (topological) twist

$$T \rightarrow \tilde{T} = T + \partial J$$
$$\langle \tilde{T}(z) J(0) \rangle = \frac{c_J}{z^3}$$

- In other words, J is no-longer primary
- The price we had to pay is it is no longer unitary

- This also means theta parameter is renormalized

$$\tilde{T}_\mu^\mu = \epsilon_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu}$$

- Lesson: **impossible anomaly can be realized** and in this case it has corresponding non-local correlation functions

d=4 example

$$\partial^\mu j_\mu = F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu}$$

- Go-go argument
 - Obviously the RHS is gauge invariant, so **Wess-Zumino consistency is satisfied**

- No-go argument

- In CFT, the (unregulated) three-point function

$$\langle J_\mu J_\rho J_\sigma \rangle = (\text{CP odd}) + (\text{CP even})$$

- CP odd term gives usual chiral anomaly
 - CP even terms are

$$D_{\mu\nu\rho}(x, y, z) = \frac{1}{(x-y)^2(z-y)^2(x-z)^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_\mu} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_\nu} \log(x-y)^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial z_\rho} \log\left(\frac{(x-z)^2}{(y-z)^2}\right)$$

$$C_{\mu\nu\rho}(x, y, z) = \frac{1}{(x-y)^4} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_\mu} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_\alpha} \log(x-z)^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial y_\nu} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_\alpha} \log(y-z)^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial z_\rho} \log\left(\frac{(x-z)^2}{(y-z)^2}\right)$$

- The first term breaks conservation with delta function but this is expected violation in non-Abelian current
 - The second term is interesting but does not lead to our term
 - **No unregulated three-point functions for impossible anomaly**

d=4 example $\partial^\mu j_\mu = F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu}$

- If symmetry is non-linearly realized, we can construct the three-point functions with conformal invariance and the impossible anomaly

$$S = \int d^4x \left(\frac{1}{2} \phi \square^2 \phi + B^\mu \square \partial_\mu \phi - \phi F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} \right)$$

- The construction is possible because the impossible anomaly is consistent

$$\langle J_\mu^B J_\nu J_\rho \rangle = \int d^4w \langle \square \partial_\mu \phi(x) \phi(w) \rangle (\delta_{\nu\rho} \partial^\alpha \delta^4(w-y) \partial_\alpha \delta^4(w-z) - \partial_\nu \delta^4(w-y) \partial_\rho \delta^4(w-z)) .$$

$$\langle \partial^\mu J_\mu^B J_\nu J_\rho \rangle = \delta_{\nu\rho} \partial^\alpha \delta^4(x-y) \partial_\alpha \delta^4(x-z) - \partial_\nu \delta^4(x-y) \partial_\rho \delta^4(x-z)$$

- The anomaly is supported by semi-local three-point functions.

Pontryagin trace anomaly?

(see also [arXiv:1201.3428](#))

Anomaly Quiz 3

Consider a CFT in curved space-time, which terms can appear in the trace anomaly?

$$T^\mu_\mu = c\text{Weyl}^2 - a\text{Euler} + bR^2 + d\Box R + e\text{Pontryagin}$$

$$\text{Weyl}^2 = R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}R^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} - 2R_{\mu\nu}R^{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{3}R^2$$

$$\text{Euler} = R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}R^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} - 4R_{\mu\nu}R^{\mu\nu} + R^2$$

$$\text{Pontryagin} = \epsilon^{\alpha\beta}_{\rho\sigma} R_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} R^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}$$

Trace anomaly in d=4

$$T_{\mu}^{\mu} = c\text{Weyl}^2 - a\text{Euler} + bR^2 + d\Box R + e\text{Pontryagin}$$

- a, c, d and e are all **consistent**
- b is **not consistent**
- d is trivial (i.e. can be removed by local counterterms)
- While we were chatting when we were students, Yuji Tachikawa suggested the possibility of e.
- After some computations, we can show **the Pontryagin term is impossible** in the sense there is no conformal invariant **non-local** three-point functions of CP violating $\langle TTT \rangle$

Debates in Pontryagin trace anomaly

- Bonora et al claimed that a Lorentzian Weyl fermion gives the Pontryagin trace anomaly (which I don't believe at its face value)
- Duff et al showed a Euclidean Majorana Weyl fermion gives the Pontryagin "trace anomaly" (which I do believe but the definition is very subtle)
- These should be given by **semi-local three-point functions** of $\langle TTT \rangle$ rather than non-local ones
- As in the chiral anomaly case, we can construct the effective action

$$S = \int d^4x \sqrt{g} \left(\frac{1}{2} \phi \Delta_4 \phi - Q \mathcal{Q} \phi - \phi \epsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} R_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu} R^{\mu\nu}_{\gamma\delta} \right) ,$$

$$\langle T^\mu_\mu(x) T_{\sigma\rho}(y) T_{\alpha\beta}(z) \rangle = Q \epsilon_{\sigma\alpha\epsilon\kappa} [(\partial_\beta \partial_\rho - \partial^2 \delta_{\beta\rho})(\partial^\epsilon \delta(x-y) \partial^\kappa \delta(x-z))] + \text{sym}$$

- The full semi-local three-point function can be found in master thesis of Nakagawa
- It remains to see if the computation by Bonora et al gives THIS (or the other) semi-local term in full 3pt functions

Summary

- Impossible anomaly may be possible
- But effective field theory may (must?) violate the unitarity
- Studies of semi-local correlation functions in CFT sound interesting
- It remains open if the realization of (impossible) anomaly from semi-local correlation function is physically meaningful (certainly we do use them to cancel anomalies in non-CFTs)
- Don't trust over anyone thirty (or QFT textbooks)