Stable Higgs Particle as Dark Matter

Y. Hosotani (Osaka), P. Ko (KIAS), and M.T.

2009/09/12,日本物理学会@甲南大学

arXiv:0908.0212 [hep-ph], to be published in PLB.

Introduction

Electro-Weak Symmetry Breaking

Higgs mechanism: Not seen yet.

Hierarchy problem: SUSY, PNGB, GHU, ...

Dark Matter WMAP: $\Omega_{\rm CDM} h^2 = 0.1131 \pm 0.0034$ Rotation curves of galaxies: DM in galactic halo.

Both problems may be solved at once. Stable Higgs as Dark Matter Model Hosotani, Oda, Ohnuma, Sakamura, PRD78,096002(2008). $SO(5) \times U(1)$ in 5D warped space-time. EWSB by Hosotani mechanism. 4D Higgs field: Wilson line phase, $\hat{\theta}_H(x) = \theta_H + \frac{H(x)}{f_H}$. $f_H \simeq 246 \,\text{GeV}$ Matter: vectors (and/or tensors) of SO(5), no spinors. A new dynamical parity, H-parity, $H(x) \rightarrow -H(x)$.

Effective Interactions

Integrating out KK modes,

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{int}} = -\frac{m_W^2}{f_H^2} H^2 W^{+\mu} W_{\mu}^{-} - \frac{m_Z^2}{2f_H^2} H^2 Z^{\mu} Z_{\mu} + \sum_f \frac{m_f}{2f_H^2} H^2 \bar{f} f + \cdots .$$

No odd powers of ${\cal H}$.

Higgs is STABLE!

A good candidate for WIMP DM.



Annihilation processes:



Semi-analytic formula, e.g. Kolb and Turner micrOMEGAs 2.2 by G. Belanger et al.



Direct Detection $HN \rightarrow HN$



Spin-Independent Cross Section



Conclusion

* Stable Higgs is a viable candidate of dark matter.

* $m_H \sim 70 \,\mathrm{GeV}$ is predicted.

* Direct detection is likely. Exp. limits depend on the local DM density, ho_0 . $ho_0 \simeq 0.04 \sim 0.6 \,\mathrm{GeV/cm}^3$

Cosmic rays from Higgs pair annihilation may be observed.

$$HH \to \gamma\gamma, \, \gamma Z \qquad E_{\gamma} \sim 70\,, \, 40 \,\mathrm{GeV}$$

Backup Slides

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{\text{eff}} &= -V_{\text{eff}}(\hat{\theta}_{H}) \\ &+ m_{W}^{2}(\hat{\theta}_{H})W^{+\mu}W_{\mu}^{-} + \frac{1}{2}m_{Z}^{2}(\hat{\theta}_{H})Z^{\mu}Z_{\mu} \\ &- \sum_{f}m_{f}(\hat{\theta}_{H})\bar{f}f \\ & m_{W}(\hat{\theta}_{H})\sim\cos\theta_{W}m_{Z}(\hat{\theta}_{H})\sim\frac{1}{2}gf_{H}\sin\theta_{H}}, \\ \end{aligned}$$
Symmetry implication:

$$V_{\text{eff}}(\hat{\theta}_{H} + \pi) = V_{\text{eff}}(\hat{\theta}_{H}) = V_{\text{eff}}(-\hat{\theta}_{H}), \\ & m_{W,Z}^{2}(\hat{\theta}_{H} + \pi) = m_{W,Z}^{2}(\hat{\theta}_{H}) = m_{W,Z}^{2}(-\hat{\theta}_{H}), \\ & m_{f}(\hat{\theta}_{H} + \pi) = -m_{f}(\hat{\theta}_{H}) = m_{f}(-\hat{\theta}_{H}). \end{aligned}$$

Vacuum: $\theta_H = \pi/2$.

Parity inv. under $H(x) \rightarrow -H(x)$.

Uncertainties in the direct detection

Local density of CDM (not measured) $\rho_0 = 0.3 \, {\rm GeV/cm^3}$ assumed in the experiments. $\rho_0 = 0.2 \sim 0.6 \, {\rm GeV/cm^3}$ reasonable for smooth halo. $\rho_0 \sim 0.04 \, {\rm GeV/cm^3}$ (Kamionkowski and Koushiappas) possible for non-smooth halo. Effective Higgs coupling HHffmay be altered in more general models.

